Attorney Docket No. 355004-991501

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Remarks

Claims 1, 4-6, 8-25, 28-43, 50-52 and 54-55 are pending in this application. Claim 25 is currently amended for an informality.

Claim Objections

Claim 25 has been amended to correct the informality raised by the Examiner, and the objection is thereby overcome.

Claim Rejections Under 35 U.S.C. 103

Claims 1, 4-6, 8-25, 28-39, 41-43, 50-52, 54 and 55 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103 as being unpatentable over U.S. patents 4,550,450 to Kinnett, 5,326,366 to Pascarella et al., 4,042,980 to Swanson et al., and German patent DE 1164019 to Chiron-Werke. Each of these claims, as previously amended, is allowable because there is no suggestion or motivation to combine these references because Pascarella et al. represents non-analogous prior art.

Pascarella et al. specifically relates to a biomechanical implant for resurfacing a damaged phalanx of a human big toe. The present invention, by contrast, specifically relates to total shoulder arthroplasty. Not only is the human shoulder joint vastly different both geometrically and bio-mechanically to the proximal phalanx of the human big toe, but each of these areas of human physiology represent entirely separate medical specialties.

Orthopedic surgeons in general orthopedic practice are inexperienced in total shoulder arthroplasty and typically refer such cases to shoulder specialists when a shoulder arthroplasty is necessary. Indeed, many primary care physicians do not even know that a prosthetic total shoulder arthroplasty exists Page 16 of 18

SF\3115920.1 355004-991501

Attorney Docket No. 355004-991501

as an option for their patients. Similarly, the joint of the big toe represents its own completely separate and unrelated area of orthopedic specialty.

As evidence of the separate and non-overlapping nature of the medical fields concerned with these very different joints of the human body, enclosed is a copy of two separate Declarations. One of these is a Declaration of Dr. Eugene M. Wolf, and expert in shoulder replacement surgery and the inventor and applicant for the present application. The other is a Declaration by Dr. Glenn B. Pfeffer, an expert in foot and ankle surgery and big toe implant surgery.

As is clear from the Declarations, the two fields of medical endeavor concerned are generally non-overlapping. Accordingly, it is quite unreasonable to suggest that a person skilled in the field of total shoulder arthroplasty would look to big toe implant resources to seek new techniques or developments that may be applicable in the shoulder joint. As stated above, the joints are entirely dissimilar, not only in size but also in their geometry and their bio-mechanical structure. It is therefore entirely unreasonable to suggest that the present invention would have been obvious to a person skilled in the art of total shoulder arthroplasty having Kinnett, and then considering that disclosure in combination with Pascarella et al. Pascarella et al. would simply not have been taken into consideration at all.

Accordingly, it is respectfully submitted that the Examiner's rejection under 35 USC 103(a) based on a combination of Kinnett and Pascarella should be withdrawn, and Applicant's claims deemed allowable.

It is respectfully submitted that the application is now in condition for allowance. The Examiner's early reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Page 17 of 18

SF\3115920.1 355004-991501

Attorney Docket No. 355004-991501

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiencies in fees and credit any overpayment of fees to Deposit Account <u>No. 07-1896</u>. A duplicate page is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP

Dated: 10-6-05

Andrew V. Smith Reg. No. 43,132 Attorney for Applicant

DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP 153 Townsend Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94107 Telephone: 415-836-2522

Facsimile: 415-836-2501

Customer No.: 29585

Page 18 of 18

Attorney Docket No. 355004-991501

DUPLICATE

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any deficiencies in fees and credit any overpayment of fees to Deposit Account <u>No. 07-1896</u>. A duplicate page is enclosed.

Respectfully submitted,

DLA PIPER RUDNICK GRAY CARY US LLP

Dated: 10-6-05

Andrew V. Smith Reg. No. 43,132

Attorney for Applicant

DLA Piper Rudnick Gray Cary US LLP 153 Townsend Street, Suite 800 San Francisco, CA 94107 Telephone: 415-836-2522 Facsimile: 415-836-2501

Customer No.: 29585

Page 18 of 18

SF\3115920.1 355004-991501